

pathways to
deep decarbonization

2014 report
executive summary

*Published by Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN)
and Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations (IDDRI),
september 2014*

The full report is available at deepdecarbonization.org.

Copyright © SDSN & IDDRI 2014

IDDRI

The Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations (IDDRI) is a non-profit policy research institute based in Paris. Its objective is to determine and share the keys for analyzing and understanding strategic issues linked to sustainable development from a global perspective. IDDRI helps stakeholders in deliberating on global governance of the major issues of common interest: action to attenuate climate change, to protect biodiversity, to enhance food security and to manage urbanization, and also takes part in efforts to reframe development pathways.

SDSN

Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN) mobilizes scientific and technical expertise from academia, civil society, and the private sector in support of sustainable development problem solving at local, national, and global scales. SDSN aims to accelerate joint learning and help to overcome the compartmentalization of technical and policy work by promoting integrated approaches to the interconnected economic, social, and environmental challenges confronting the world.

Disclaimer

The 2014 DDPP report was written by a group of independent experts acting in their personal capacities and who have not been nominated by their respective governments. Any views expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the views of any government or organization, agency or programme of the United Nations.

Publishers : Jeffrey Sachs, Laurence Tubiana
Managing editors : Emmanuel Guérin, Carl Mas, Henri Waisman
Editing & copy editing : Claire Bulger, Elana Sulakshana, Kathy Zhang
Editorial support : Pierre Barthélemy, Léna Spinazzé
Layout and figures : Ivan Pharabod

The Deep Decarbonization Pathways Project (DDPP) is convened under the auspices of the Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN) and the Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations (IDDRI).

The project is led by:

Jeffrey Sachs, Director, SDSN

Laurence Tubiana, Founder, IDDRI; Co-chair of the Leadership Council, SDSN

Emmanuel Guerin, Associate Director, SDSN; Senior DDPP Manager

Henri Waisman, DDPP Manager, IDDRI

Carl Mas, DDPP Manager, SDSN

Michel Colombier, Scientific Director, IDDRI

Guido Schmidt-Traub, Executive Director, SDSN

This report was jointly prepared by the members of the 15 DDPP Country Research Partners:

Australia. Anna Skarbek (ClimateWorks Australia); Frank Jotzo (Crawford School of Public Policy, Australian National University); Andy Jones (ClimateWorks Australia); Amandine Denis (ClimateWorks Australia); Rob Kelly (ClimateWorks Australia); Scott Ferraro (ClimateWorks Australia); Niina Kautto (ClimateWorks Australia); Paul Graham (technical advisor, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, CSIRO, Australia); Steve Hatfield-Dodds (technical advisor, CSIRO, Australia); Philip Adams (Centre of Policy Studies, Victoria University). **Brazil.** Emilio La Rovere (COPPE, Federal University, Rio de Janeiro, UJRJ); Claudio Gesteira (COPPE, UFRJ). **Canada.** Chris Bataille (Navius Research, Simon Fraser University); Jacqueline Chan (Navius Research); Dave Sawyer (Carbon Management Canada); Richard Adamson (Carbon Management Canada). **China.** Teng Fei (Institute of Energy, Environment, Economy, Tsinghua University); Liu Qiang (National Center for Climate Change Strategy and International Cooperation, NCSC); Gu Alun (Institute of Energy, Environment, Economy, Tsinghua University); Yang Xi (Institute of Energy, Environment, Economy, Tsinghua University); Chen Yi (NCSC); Tian Chuan (NCSC); Zheng Xiaoqi (NCSC). **France.** Sandrine Mathy (Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, EDDEN, PACTE); Patrick Criqui (Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, EDDEN, PACTE); Jean Charles Hourcade (Centre International de Recherche sur l'Environnement et le Développement, CIRED). **Germany.** Piet Selke (Dialogik); Ortwin Renn (Dialogik). **India.** Ritu Matur (TERI University); Leena Srivastava (TERI University); Atul Kumar (TERI); Aayushi Awasthy (TERI); Ilika Mohan (TERI). **Indonesia.** Ucok W.R. Siagian (Center for Research on Energy Policy-Bandung Institute of Technology, CRE-ITB); Retno Gumilang Dewi (CRE-ITB); Iwan Hendrawan (CRE-ITB); Rizaldi Boer (Centre for Climate Risk and Opportunity Management-Bogor Agriculture University, CCROM-IPB); Gito Emanuel Gintings (CCROM-IPB). **Japan.** Mikiko Kainuma (National Institute for Environmental Studies, NIES); Ken Oshiro (Mizuho Information and Research Institute, MIRI); Go Hibino (MIRI); Toshihiko Masui (NIES). **Mexico.** Daniel Buira, Instituto Nacional de Ecología y Cambio Climático; Jordi Tovilla. **Russia.** Oleg Lugovoy (Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration, RANPEA); Georges Safonov (High School of Economics, Moscow); Vladimir Potashnikov (RANPEA); Dmitriy Gordeev (RANPEA). **South Africa.** Hilton Trolip (The Energy Research Centre, ERC, University of Cape Town, UCT); Harald Winkler (ERC, UCT) Bruno Merven (ERC, UCT). **South Korea.** Soogil Young (School of Public Policy and Management, Korea Development Institute, KDI); Dong-Woon Noh (Korea Energy Economics Institute, KEEI); Ji-Woon Ahn (KEEI); Sang-Yong Park (Korea Institute of Energy Research, KIER); Nyun-Bae Park (KIER); Chang-Hoon Lee (Korea Environment Institute, KEI); Sung-Won Kang (KEI). **United Kingdom.** Steve Pye (University College London, UCL, Energy Institute); Gabriel Anandarajah (UCL, Energy Institute). **United States of America.** Jim Williams (Energy + Environmental Economics, E3); Sam Borgeson (E3); Jamil Farbes (E3); Ben Haley (E3); Elaine Hart (E3); Gabe Kwok (E3); Ryan Jones (E3); Fredrich Kahrl (E3); Amber Mahone (E3); Jack Moore (E3); Katie Pickrell (E3); Rich Plevin (E3); Snuller Price (E3).

The following Partner Organizations contribute to the DDPP:

German Development Institute (GDI) ; International Energy Agency (IEA) ; International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) ; World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD).

Acknowledgments

The 2014 DDPP report has benefited from advice from a large number of experts and many discussions around the world on the key concepts of decarbonization. In particular, the authors would like to thank the Leadership Council of the SDSN, the members of the SDSN, as well as the National and Regional SDSNs that have discussed the implications of deep decarbonization for their countries and regions. The report has also benefited from the advice of the Thematic Groups of the SDSN.

We are very grateful for the technical advice and encouragement received from the outstanding team at the International Energy Agency, led by its Chief Economist Fatih Birol. Under the leadership of Peter Bakker many members of the World Business Council on Sustainable Development and its national chapters have provided valuable advice to the DDPP partners, particularly on technologies for deep decarbonization. The report has also greatly benefited from discussions with the New Climate Economy Commission, which will publish its report in September 2014.

The DDPP is grateful for generous financial support received from many supporters, including the Children's Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF), Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ), the German Environment Ministry, the Gross Family Foundation, The European Climate Foundation (ECF), Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Énergie (ADEME), IDDRI, and the SDSN. Many others have provided direct assistance to individual Country Research Partners.

Critical support in the preparation of this report was provided by Pierre Barthélemy, Claire Bulger, Jessica Moreira, Ivan Pharabod, Rebecca Sopchik, Léna Spinazzé, Elana Sulakshana, Erin Trowbridge, and Kathy Zhang.

The authors of the DDPP are pleased to present this 2014 report to UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon in support of the UN Climate Leaders' Summit that he will convene in New York on September 23, 2014. We thank the Secretary-General for his unwavering support for the SDSN and the DDPP as well as his global leadership on the decisive issue of preventing dangerous climate change.

Preface

The Deep Decarbonization Pathways Project (DDPP) is a collaborative initiative to understand and show how individual countries can transition to a low-carbon economy and how the world can meet the internationally agreed target of limiting the increase in global mean surface temperature to less than 2 degrees Celsius (°C). Achieving the 2°C limit will require that global net emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG) approach zero by the second half of the century. This will require a profound transformation of energy systems by mid-century through steep declines in carbon intensity in all sectors of the economy, a transition we call “deep decarbonization.” Successfully transitioning to a low-carbon economy will require unprecedented global cooperation, including a global cooperative effort to accelerate the development and diffusion of some key low carbon technologies.

As underscored throughout this report, the results of the DDPP analyses remain preliminary and incomplete. The DDPP proceeds in two phases. This 2014 report describes the DDPP’s approach to deep decarbonization at the country level and presents preliminary findings on technically feasible pathways to deep decarbonization, utilizing technology assumptions and timelines provided by the DDPP Secretariat. At this stage we have not yet considered the economic and social costs and benefits of deep decarbonization, which will be the topic for the next report. The DDPP is issuing this 2014 report to the UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon in support of the Climate Leaders’ Summit at the United Nations on September 23, 2014.

In the first half of 2015, the DDPP will issue a more comprehensive report to the French Government, host of the 21st Conference of the Parties (COP-21) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). The 2015 DDPP report will refine the analysis of the technical decarbonization potential, exploring options for even deeper decarbonization. At this stage, we have not looked at important issues in the context of the UNFCCC negotiations, such as equity and the Principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities, and Respective Capabilities (CBDR-RC). The 2015 DDPP report will address these issues and take a broader perspective, beyond technical feasibility, to analyze in further detail how the twin objectives of development and deep decarbonization can be met through integrated approaches, identify national and international financial requirements, including the question of who should pay for these costs, and suggest policy frameworks for implementation.

The Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN) and the Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations (IDDRI) co-founded and lead the DDPP.

Currently, the DDPP comprises 15 Country Research Partners composed of leading researchers and research institutions from countries representing 70% of global GHG emissions and at very different stages of development: Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Indonesia, Japan, Mexico, Russia, South Africa, South Korea, the UK, and the USA. The Country Research Partners are acting independently of governments and do not necessarily reflect the positions or views of their national governments. Each DDPP Country Research Team is developing a “pathway” analysis for deep decarbonization. We expect the number of Country Research Partners to grow over the coming months and years.

Several Partner Organizations contribute to the analysis and outreach of the DDPP, including the German Development Institute (GDI), the International Energy Agency (IEA), the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), and the World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD). We invite other organizations to become DDPP partners and contribute to practical problem solving for deep decarbonization.

We hope that the Deep Decarbonization Pathways (DDPs) outlined in this report and the ongoing analytical work by the Country Research Partners will support discussions in every country on how to achieve deep decarbonization, while achieving their respective economic and social development objectives. Above all, we hope that the findings will be helpful to the Parties of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) as they craft a strong agreement on the global climate change regime at the COP-21 in Paris in December 2015.

Executive Summary

This 2014 report by the Deep Decarbonization Pathway Project (DDPP) summarizes preliminary findings of the technical pathways developed by the DDPP Country Research Partners with the objective of achieving emission reductions consistent with limiting global warming to less than 2°C., without, at this stage, consideration of economic and social costs and benefits. The DDPP is a knowledge network comprising 15 Country Research Partners, and several Partner Organizations who develop and share methods, assumptions, and findings related to deep decarbonization. Each DDPP Country Research Team develops illustrative pathway analysis for the transition to a low-carbon economy, with the intent of taking into account national socio-economic conditions, development aspirations, infrastructure stocks, resource endowments, and other relevant factors.

This executive summary starts with a short outline of key results from previous global studies (discussed in chapter I to IV) and then turns to what is new and special about the country-level approach of the DDPP (explained in chapter V). It summarizes the main preliminary findings from the Deep Decarbonization Pathways (DDPs) developed by the Country Research Partners (included in chapter VI) and draws some lessons for the international negotiations leading up to the 21st Conference of the Parties (COP-21) of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) to be held in Paris in December 2015.

Climate change and sustainable development

The economic, social, and environmental risks of unabated climate change are immense. They threaten to roll back the fruits of decades of growth and development, undermine prosperity, and jeop-

ardize countries' ability to achieve even the most basic socio-economic development goals in the future, including the eradication of poverty and continued economic growth. These risks affect all developed and developing countries alike.

Avoiding dangerous climate change and achieving sustainable development are inextricably linked. There is no prospect of winning the fight against climate change if countries fail on poverty eradication or if countries do not succeed in raising the living standards of their people. Addressing climate change requires deep emission reductions of all greenhouse gases (GHGs), including the deep decarbonization of energy systems. To be successful, this transition must ensure that socio-economic development needs are met within the constraints of very low emissions.

The results from previous global studies, including the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Assessment Reports (AR), show that deeply reducing GHG emissions and achieving socio-economic development are not mutually exclusive. They form two sides of the same coin and must be pursued together as part of sustainable development. Robust economic growth and rising prosperity are consistent with the objective of deep decarbonization under the assumption of rapid technological evolution combined with their large-scale dissemination on terms that are economically and socially viable. The DDPs developed by the Country Research Partners assume that a strong global cooperative push on technology Research Development Demonstration and Diffusion (RDD&D) will support the timely deployment at scale and affordable costs of key low-carbon technologies. The DDPs also assume continued, sometimes rapid, economic growth.

The carbon budget and emissions reduction trajectory to stay below 2°C

In 2010, all governments operationalized the objective of the UNFCCC to “prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system” by adopting the target of keeping the global rise in mean surface temperature below 2°C compared with the pre-industrial average.

They did this in recognition of the extreme risks to future human wellbeing resulting from a rise in temperature above 2°C. The latest scientific research analyzed by the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) Working Group 2 (WG2) concludes that even an increase in global temperatures of 2°C constitutes a serious threat to human wellbeing. Keeping below 2°C of global warming is indispensable to maintain climate change within the boundaries of manageable risks and to our ability to adapt to climate change.

Limiting the increase in mean surface temperature to less than 2°C imposes a tough constraint on global cumulative GHG emissions, including CO₂ emissions, which are the largest single source (76%)¹ of GHG emissions. To have a likely chance—defined as a probability higher than two-thirds—of staying within this limit, the level of global cumulative CO₂ emissions from land use, fossil fuels, and industry must be in the range of 550–1300 billion tons (Gigatons or Gt) by mid-century.² If one excludes a significant contribution from net negative emissions,³ the global CO₂ budget to 2050 is 825 Gt. Staying within this CO₂ budget requires very near-term peaking globally, and a sharp reduction in global CO₂ emissions thereafter, especially in energy-related CO₂ emissions. The scenarios reviewed by the IPCC that give a likely chance of staying within the 2°C limit

project global CO₂ emissions from the burning of fossil fuels and industrial processes (“CO₂-energy emissions”) close to 11 Gt in 2050 on average (down from 34 Gt in 2011). The IEA Energy Technology Perspective (ETP) 2°C scenario (2DS), which gives only a 50% chance of staying within the 2°C limit, reaches 15 Gt CO₂-energy globally in 2050. Assuming a world population of 9.5 billion people by 2050—in line with the medium fertility forecast of the UN Population Division—this means that countries would individually need to reach close to a global average of CO₂-energy emissions per capita of 1.6 tons⁴ in 2050, which is a sharp decrease compared to today’s global average of 5.2 tons, especially for developed countries with current emissions per capita much higher than today’s global average.

Why the 2°C limit should be taken seriously⁵

The world is not on track to stay within the 2°C limit. While awareness of climate change is rising, and a large and growing number of countries, cities, and corporations have pledged to reduce their GHG emissions, these pledges taken together are not sufficient to stay within the 2°C limit. The scenarios reviewed by IPCC AR5 Working Group 3 (WG3) show that in the absence of additional commitments to reduce GHG emissions, the world is on a trajectory to an increase in global mean temperature of 3.7°C to 4.8°C compared to pre-industrial levels. When accounting for full climate uncertainty, this range extends from 2.5°C to 7.8°C by the end of the century.

The consequences of such a temperature rise would be catastrophic. A recent report prepared by the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK) for the World Bank⁶ describes a dra-

1 UNFCCC, AR5, WG3, SPM.

2 Idem

3 The sustainability of the large-scale deployment of some net negative emissions technologies, such as bioenergy with carbon capture and sequestration (BECCS), raises issues still under debate, in part due to the competition in land uses for energy and food purposes.

4 For a 50% probability. 1.1 tons for a 66% probability.

5 The India country research partner (TERI) is unable to endorse the findings of any studies on climate science referred to in this report that have not been included in the IPCC AR 5 findings.

6 Schellnhuber, HJ, et al. *Turn down the heat: climate extremes, regional impacts, and the case for resilience - full report*. Washington DC; World Bank. June 2013. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/2013/06/17862361/turn-down-heat-climate-extremes-regional-impacts-case-resilience-full-report>.

matic picture of a 4°C warmer world, where climate and weather extremes would cause devastation and intense human suffering. It would have severe repercussions on human and physical systems and potentially unleash positive feedback mechanisms that further amplify the human drivers. The IPCC AR5 and a large number of other international and national assessments validate this finding. It is therefore vital that the world become much more serious about the implications of staying within the 2°C limit. Governments, businesses, and civil society must understand and operationalize the profound transformations required to reach this target.

We do not subscribe to the view held by some that the 2°C limit is impossible to achieve and that it should be weakened or dropped altogether. The science is clear that global warming beyond 2°C carries the risk of grave and irreversible harm to human wellbeing and development prospects in all countries. The political risks of jettisoning the 2°C limit are also significant. If the world fails to mobilize in support of the 2°C limit or if countries try to weaken it there will be no realistic prospect for the international community to agree to another quantitative target. Countries would find themselves on a slippery downward slope with no quantitative foothold to organize an international and coordinated response to climate change. The 2°C limit is an invaluable tool for international mobilization that must be preserved.

The latest scientific research indicates that keeping below the 2°C limit is challenging but feasible. Global studies—including the scenarios reviewed by the IPCC AR5 WG3, the IEA World Energy Outlook (WEO) and Energy Technology Perspectives (ETP) reports, and the Global Energy Assessment (GEA) led by the Institute of Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA)—show that reducing global GHG emissions to a level consistent with the 2°C limit is still within reach. Clearly, though, the window of opportunity is closing fast. Countries therefore need to act quickly and in a determined and coordinated manner to keep the 2°C limit within reach.

Operationalizing the global 2°C limit

Very few countries have looked seriously at the operational implications of staying within the 2°C limit. Since COP16 in Cancun in 2010, a large number of countries, both developed and developing, have quantified targets to reduce their GHG emissions or the carbon intensity of their GDP by the year 2020. But these targets—which sometimes are yet to be backed by detailed policy actions and implementation plans—are collectively insufficient to put countries on a trajectory consistent with the long-term global objective of deep decarbonization. In fact most 2020 emissions reductions targets were framed as a deviation from Business-As-Usual (BAU) trends, reductions in the carbon intensity of GDP, or relatively modest decrease in absolute GHG emissions compared to a base year. By and large national targets are not derived from an assessment of what will be needed to stay within the 2°C limit.

Only an internationally coordinated, goal-oriented approach to operationalizing the 2°C limit will allow humanity to avoid dangerous climate change. As this 2014 DDPP report and many other analyses make clear, staying within 2°C will require deep transformations of energy and production systems, industry, agriculture, land use, and other dimensions of human development. It will require profound changes in the prevailing socio-economic development frameworks. Many of the technologies that will need to underpin these transformations are available, but many others are not ready for large-scale deployment. Making critical low-carbon technologies commercially available and affordable, enabling countries to pursue long-term transformations, will require long-term international cooperation and trust. One important purpose of the DDPP is to lay out an analytical approach to operationalizing the 2°C limit, and to identify the areas that will require global cooperation to successfully transition to a low carbon economy, including the technologies that require a strong global RDD&D.

The need for country-level Deep Decarbonization Pathways (DDPs) to 2050

The DDPP aims to illustrate how countries could pursue their national development priorities while achieving the deep decarbonization of energy systems by mid-century consistent with the 2°C limit. Following the launch of the DDPP in October 2013, the DDPP Country Research Partners have collaborated to identify key principles and requirements for DDPs that collectively may enable the world to stay within the 2°C limit. A broad consensus has emerged that DDPs would help in identifying pathways towards the 2°C limit.

Staying within the 2°C limit requires that countries develop long-term pathways to deep decarbonization to explore options and develop a long-term strategy. The nature and magnitude of the decarbonization challenge are such that there is no quick and easy fix. Deep decarbonization will not happen overnight, and there is no silver bullet. Deep decarbonization is not about modest and incremental change or small deviations from BAU. In particular, it requires major changes to countries' energy and production systems that need to be pursued over the long-term. Decisions made today with regards to, say, power generation and transport infrastructure, will have a long-term impact on future GHG emissions, which must be mapped out carefully and understood quantitatively.

The DDPs developed by the Country Research Partners “backcast” from the global goal of limiting the rise in temperature below 2°C to explore the transformations for deep decarbonization required to reach the goal. We use the term “backcasting,” to describe a process where the future GHG emission target is set, and then the changes needed to achieve that target are determined. Backcasting is not to be confused with rigid, central planning. A process of deep decarbonization must be adaptive, as strategies and pathways will have to be continually revised and updated based on new results from climate science, technological innovation, and lessons learnt from implementation.

The DDPP follows a two-stage approach to problem solving. The first, which is the focus of this report, is to identify technically feasible DDPs for achieving the objective of limiting the rise in global temperatures below 2°C. At this stage, we have not looked systematically at the issue of economic and social costs and benefits, nor considered the question of who should pay for them. The technology pathways produced in this report are based on technology assumptions provided by the DDPP Secretariat to support the individual country DDPs. In a second—later—stage we will refine the analysis of the technical potential, exploring the options for even deeper decarbonization pathways. We will also take a broader perspective, beyond technical feasibility, by quantifying costs and benefits, estimating national and international finance requirements, mapping out domestic and global policy frameworks, and considering in more detail how the twin objectives of development and deep decarbonization can be met. These issues will be described in the 2015 DDPP report. But technically feasible DDPs are a vital first step towards achieving the 2°C limit, by illuminating the scale and nature of technological and structural changes required.

The technical DDPs developed by the Country Research Partners rest on a number of national and global policy assumptions that will be investigated in more detail in the 2015 DDPP report. These policy assumptions include:

- All countries take strong, early, and coordinated actions to achieve deep decarbonization.
- All countries adopt adequate nationally appropriate policies, regulations, and incentives.
- Financial flows are re-directed from high-carbon to low-carbon portfolios and projects.
- Financial support is provided to developing countries as they appropriately require financial support to implement mitigation policies and finance low-carbon investments.
- Low-carbon technologies become available and affordable to all countries, for example through a technology cooperation mechanism and fund, which shares equitably the costs and benefits across countries.

The DDPs developed by the Country Research Partners presented in this report are intended to provide a complementary analysis to existing global-level studies of deep emissions reductions. To make a strong and convincing case for action at the national level, DDPs must be country-specific and developed by local experts. They need to fit within countries' development strategies and align with their other socio-economic and environmental goals. They need to demonstrate that the short- and long-term challenges countries face, such as economic development, poverty eradication, job creation, inequality reduction, energy and food security, and biodiversity protection, can be addressed in parallel to deep decarbonization. DDPs must take into account country-specific infrastructure stocks and natural resource endowments. They must also take into account the systemic implications and the inherent gradual pace of changing technology, infrastructure, and capital stocks within countries. None of this can be accomplished through aggregate global models and studies, which are not granular enough to present a detailed technical roadmap for policy implementation at the country level.

DDPs that may be developed by national governments would be helpful in promoting a national dialogue on decarbonization and launching a process of intense and complex problem solving. Transparent DDPs can enable a public discussion in every country on how best to achieve emission reduction objectives, understand costs and benefits and possible trade-offs, and identify synergies or "win-wins." Such technical, economic, social, and political analysis and national dialogue on deep decarbonization will involve business, civil society, and various expert communities (e.g. engineers, geologists, climatologists, economists, social scientists) to debate the best options for decarbonization, identify bottlenecks, and propose new approaches. DDPs can become a framework for organizing a dynamic process of discussion and problem solving in every country.

DDPs that may be developed by national governments would also be helpful in building trust across countries, shaping their expectations, and identifying where international cooperation and support is required, including support to developing countries. DDPs show how each country aims to achieve deep decarbonization and demonstrate the seriousness of national commitments to reduce GHG emissions. Transparent DDPs can enhance trust among countries, which is critical for a concerted international response to climate change. They will also help highlight areas that require international support, and increased international cooperation, particularly on RDD&D of low-carbon technologies.

Interim results from the 15 Deep Decarbonization Pathways (DDPs) developed by the Country Research Partners

In aggregate, the illustrative initial DDPs developed by the Country Research Partners outlined in this report achieve deep absolute emissions reductions by 2050. Total CO₂-energy emissions from the 15 preliminary DDPs already reach a level of 12.3 Gt by 2050, down from 22.3 Gt in 2010. This represents a 45% decrease of total CO₂-energy emissions over the period, and a 56% and 88% reduction in emissions per capita and the carbon intensity of GDP, respectively. The interim DDPs do not yet achieve the full decarbonization needed to make staying below the 2°C limit "likely," defined as a higher than two-thirds probability of success. The Country Research Partners have identified additional opportunities for deep decarbonization that will be incorporated in the next version of the DDPs, along with assessments of economic and social costs, (see Chapter VI) to be published in 2015. Nonetheless, the aggregate decarbonization pathway is already very substantial and well on its way to becoming consistent with the 2°C target.

The illustrative initial DDPs already provide key insights and identify unique elements of deep decarbonization in each country. These include the key components of nationally appropriate

strategies and the most promising country-specific technology options for deep decarbonization. The illustrative initial DDPs also identify the principal challenges that still need to be addressed by the DDPP. Finally, the DDPs provide initial indications of the enabling conditions for the successful implementation of deep decarbonization. Understanding and meeting these conditions will require further refinement through careful analysis, public consultation, and learning by doing.

The three pillars of the deep decarbonization of energy systems

The 15 illustrative initial DDPs developed by the Country Research Partners share three common pillars of deep decarbonization of national energy systems:

1. **Energy efficiency and conservation:** Greatly improved energy efficiency in all energy end-use sectors including passenger and goods transportation, through improved vehicle technologies, smart urban design, and optimized value chains; residential and commercial buildings, through improved end-use equipment, architectural design, building practices, and construction materials; and industry, through improved equipment, production processes, material efficiency, and re-use of waste heat.
2. **Low-carbon electricity:** Decarbonization of electricity generation through the replacement of existing fossil-fuel-based generation with renewable energy (e.g. hydro, wind, solar, and geothermal), nuclear power, and/or fossil fuels (coal, gas) with carbon capture and storage (CCS).
3. **Fuel Switching:** Switching end-use energy supplies from highly carbon-intensive fossil fuels in transportation, buildings, and industry to lower carbon fuels, including low-carbon electricity, other low-carbon energy carriers synthesized from electricity generation or sustainable biomass, or lower-carbon fossil fuels.

Within the three pillars that are common to all countries, individual illustrative initial DDPs show a wide variety of different approaches based on national circumstances. Differentiating national circumstances include socio-economic

conditions, the availability of renewable energy resources, and national political imperatives regarding the development of renewable energy, nuclear power, CCS, and other technologies. For example, the DDP developed by the Indian team decarbonizes power generation using primarily renewable energy and nuclear power, but not CCS, because the scale of the potential for geological carbon sequestration in India is uncertain. At the other end of the spectrum, the DDPs developed by the Canadian, Chinese, Indonesian, Mexican, Russian, and UK teams project a significant share of coal and gas-fired power generation with CCS by 2050.

The main decarbonization challenges at the sectoral level

The preliminary DDPs also reveal the sectors in which deep emissions reductions are most challenging, even without consideration of economic and social costs, particularly freight and industry. Relative to the state of knowledge about low-carbon strategies in other areas such as power generation, buildings, and passenger transport, decarbonization strategies for freight and industry are less well developed and understood. These two sectors constitute a key focus area for future analysis by the DDPP and a future challenge for global RDD&D efforts.

Some potential solutions have been identified for freight and industry. Decarbonization options for freight include improved propulsion technologies (battery electric, hybrid, compressed or liquefied (natural or synthesized) gas, and hydrogen); modal shifts (e.g. from road transport to trains and ships); and sustainable biofuels and synthesized fuels for air and maritime transport. Decarbonization options for industry include improved efficiency, electrification of boilers, re-use of process waste heat, sustainable biomass (both energy crops and waste material), and CCS. In each case, the solutions are contingent on their affordability.

Some of the identified decarbonization options for industry and freight have yet to be included in all DDPs. Some Country Research Partners will include additional decarbonization

options in their revised DDPs. They will also ensure consistency of national projections for industrial production, in particular for energy and mining products, with the forecasted global demand and the domestic needs for infrastructure development by 2050. Given the technological challenges associated with deep emission reductions in the freight and industry sectors, complementary measures to reduce or limit the growth of demand for their products and services will be explored, taking into account countries' socio-economic goals and strategies.

The need for a global technology push

The analysis by the 15 Country Research Partners also confirms that the technical feasibility of deep decarbonization rests on the large-scale deployment of several low-carbon technologies, some of which are not yet fully commercialized or affordable. For this reason, countries and the international community as a whole must undertake a major research, development, demonstration, and diffusion (RDD&D) effort to develop low-carbon technologies and ensure their widespread availability and their cost-competitiveness with high-carbon alternatives, when the social cost of carbon is taken into account by means of carbon pricing, which, however, need not be uniform across countries.

All Country Research Partners have adopted project-wide assumptions, regarding the development and deployment of critical low-carbon technologies:

- There will be sufficient global RDD&D and international cooperation to make all the relevant pre-commercial low-carbon technologies commercially available in a timely and scaled manner.
- Critical low-carbon technologies will become affordable and cost-competitive with their high carbon alternatives, taking into account the social cost of carbon imposed by means of carbon pricing, which may differ across countries.
- Low-carbon technologies become available and affordable to all countries, for example through a technology cooperation mechanism and fund,

which shares equitably the costs and benefits across countries.

- Technology diffusion will be actively supported.

Some key technologies, which are critical for deep decarbonization in all DDPs, are not yet technically mature or economically affordable. They include:

- Advanced energy storage, flexible load management, and integrated portfolio design for balancing power systems with high penetrations of variable renewable energy (e.g. wind and solar)
- Very high performance appliances, controls, and materials for buildings.
- Zero emissions vehicles with adequate range, notably battery electric or fuel cell light-duty vehicles.
- Sustainable biofuels or synthesized fuels for air and marine transport.

Some emerging low-carbon technologies are key in a subset of the 15 DDPs. These include:

- New types of renewable energy technologies (e.g. advanced geothermal, deep offshore wind, and tidal energy).
- Carbon-capture and sequestration (on fossil-fueled power plants and industries).
- Advanced nuclear power technology that sustains public confidence and support.

The Country Research Partners underscore that successful implementation of national DDPs depends on “directed technological change”—that is technological change that is propelled through an organized, sustained, and funded effort engaging government, academia, and business with targeted technological outcomes in mind. No Country Research Team was comfortable assuming that their country alone could develop the requisite low-carbon technologies. Likewise, market forces alone will not be sufficient to promote the required RDD&D at the right scale, timing, and coordination across economies and sectors—even when these market forces are guided by potential large profits from the generation of new intellectual property. Technological success will therefore require a globally collaborative effort in technology development,

built on technology roadmaps for each of the key, pre-commercial low-carbon technologies.

Directed technological change should not be conceived as picking winners, but as making sure the market has enough winners to pick from to achieve cost-effective low-carbon outcomes. While directed-technological change is essential to meeting the challenge of deep decarbonization, there are many alternative technologies under development now and that may emerge in the future. Technology roadmaps and policy coordination should always leave room for new developments. Efforts aimed at building public support and acceptance for key technologies will also play an important role.

Early lessons for the global deal to stay within 2°C

The approach of the DDPP itself reveal the critical importance of preparing country-level DDPs to 2050. These pathways, and the discussion of their results and input assumptions, are essential tools for learning and problem solving. This process is crucial to developing a long-term vision for deep decarbonization and shaping the expectations of countries, businesses, and investors about future development opportunities. The DDPP and similar processes afford a unique opportunity for teams to work together across countries to map out how the global 2°C limit can be operationalized and achieved at the country level.

It highlights the need to introduce long-term backcasting into the scope of the climate negotiations preparing COP21. The current focus of the international negotiations on mitigation is on emission reduction targets to 2025 or 2030. Yet if countries do not work with a longer time horizon and backcast from this long-term target, they are likely to adopt strategies that fall far short of what is needed to stay below the 2°C limit. By its structure, the current incremental approach will fail to consider the deep systemic changes and the key technologies that are still pre-commercial but necessary to reach the target.

What the DDPP process illustrates is that at least two new elements will need to be part of the global deal in 2015 at COP21 in Paris.

These do not cover the full scope of the agreement, in particular the need to provide adequate support (financial, technological, and capacity building) to developing countries to undertake the necessary mitigation and adaptation actions. But they are nonetheless an essential component of a successful global deal to operationalize the 2°C target, bearing in mind that deep decarbonization would lower the needs and costs of unavoidable adaptation:

- **Country DDPs:** A shared global commitment that each country will develop and make publicly available a (non-binding) DDP to 2050 that is consistent with the 2°C limit and their national circumstances. Official country DDPs (as distinct from illustrative DDPs, developed by researchers) would, hopefully, be predicated on a shared commitment to the global target and to all aspects of global cooperation needed to achieve it, including technology cooperation, financial support, and capacity building.
- **Global, large-scale RDD&D of low-carbon technologies:** A massive and sustained global international collaborative public-private effort to develop, demonstrate, and diffuse various low-carbon technologies that are not yet technically mature or economically competitive with fossil fuel based technologies, and are key to the success of deep decarbonization. A global technology cooperation and fund mechanism will also be necessary to make sure these technologies are accessible and affordable for all countries.

It is our hope that this 2014 report and upcoming DDPP reports will make a useful contribution to operationalizing the 2°C target.

In particular we hope that the DDPP can help spur the design and international comparison of national DDPs and promote the necessary global cooperation to achieve them, including the global RDD&D cooperative effort to ensure the timely deployment at scale and affordable costs of key low-carbon technologies.



COUNTRY RESEARCH PARTNERS. **Australia.** Climate Works Australia; Crawford School of Public Policy, Australian National University (ANU); Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO); Centre of Policy Studies, Victoria University. **Brazil.** COPPE, Federal University, Rio de Janeiro. **Canada.** Carbon Management Canada; Navius Research; Simon Fraser University; Sharp. **China.** Institute of Energy, Environment, Economy, Tsinghua University; National Center for Climate Change Strategy and International Cooperation (NCSC). **France.** Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, EDDEN, PACTE; Centre International de Recherche sur l'Environnement et le Développement (CIRED), CNRS. **Germany.** Dialogik. **India.** The Energy and Resource Institute (TERI). **Indonesia.** Center for Research on Energy Policy-Bandung Institute of Technology, CRE-ITB; Centre for Climate Risk and Opportunity Management-Bogor Agriculture University (CCROM-IPB). **Japan.** National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES); Mizuho Information and Research Institute (MIRI). **Mexico.** Instituto Nacional de Ecología y Cambio Climático (INECC). **Russia.** Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA); High School of Economics, Moscow. **South Africa.** The Energy Research Centre (ERC) University of Cape Town (UCT). **South Korea.** School of Public Policy and Management, Korea Development Institute (KDI); Korea Energy Economics Institute (KEEI); Korea Institute of Energy Research (KIER); Korea Environment Institute (KEI). **United Kingdom.** University College London (UCL) Energy Institute. **United States of America.** Energy + Environmental Economics (E3).

DDPP PARTNERS ORGANIZATIONS. German Development Institute (GDI); International Energy Agency (IEA); International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA); World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD).